

GCE

Psychology

H567/01: Research methods

Advanced GCE

Mark Scheme for June 2019

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

© OCR 2019

Annotation	Meaning	- 11
?	Unclear	
AE	Attempts evaluation	
BOD	Benefit of doubt	
CONT	Context	11
X	Cross	
EVAL	Evaluation	
	Extendable horizontal line	
~~	Extendable horizontal wavy line	
IRRL	Significant amount of material which doesn't answer the question	
NAQ	Not answered question	T i
RES	Good use of resources	
V	Tick	
V.	Development of point	
	Omission mark	

Mark Scheme

Section A: Multiple choice

Ques	Answer	Answer	
1	В	Negatively skewed	
2	А	Incorrectly accepting the null hypothesis	
3	А	when there are a few scores much lower than the rest	
4	А	Chi-square	
5	B/C	Chi-square/Mann-Whitney	
6	С	~	
7	А	criterion	
8	D	unstructured	
9	D	self-ratings of aggression (1 to 10) at different times of day (10am to 10pr	
10	А	detection of 'gorilla'	
11	В	self-selected	
12	С	<u>1</u> 20	
13	D	rs = -0.8	
14	D	abstract	
15	D	primary	
16	В	continuous	
17	А	has an independent variable	
18	В	conducted in a place where the behaviour studied usually occurs	
19	Α	a technique that enables qualitative data to be recorded as quantitative	
20	С	the extent to which the findings can be applied to the population	

Section B: Research design and response

Question	Answer		Marks	Guidance
21	For example There will be a positive correlation between a person's weight and their level of extroversion measured on a rating scale. 3 marks are awarded for correctly citing an appropriate alternative hypothesis for this study with increasing level of detail in terms of reference to the variables studied. 1 mark for predicting a positive/negative correlation plus a further 2 marks if both variables are fully operationalised. Correctly cited one-tailed alternative hypothesis with both variables operationalised		Max 3	-Context = structure/ weight, personality etc - Can be written in future or present tense.
				 Use of the word 'significant' is not necessary for full marks. Award zero if reference to a difference/cause and effect. Eg Larger people will have a more extrovert personality compared to smaller people. Award zero if a two-tailed hypothesis is
			3	written (just stating 'there will be a correlation')
	Correctly cited one-tailed alternative hypothesis with reference to both variables, but only one operationalised	Unclear wording - correctly cited one-tailed alternative hypothesis with both variables operationalised	2	 - Award zero for null - For full marks both the variables must be operationalised. Not necessary to give units for weight/BMI. Must operationalise
	Correctly cited one-tailed alternative hypothesis with reference to both variables, but neither operationalised	Unclear wording - correctly cited one-tailed alternative hypothesis with reference to both variables, but only one operationalised	1	extroversion (e.g. numerical scale, self-report). - Can state positive or negative correlation will be found
	The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information		0	- Full marks can be given for a description of the positive/negative correlation. E.g. As weight increases, the level of extroversion measured on a rating scale will also increase.

Explain how you would conduct a study using the correlation technique to investigate if there is a relationship between a person's weight and their level of extroversion. Justify your decisions as part of your explanation. You must refer to:

- the sampling technique to obtain participants for the study
- how you would operationalise the variable 'extroversion'
- details of how one ethical consideration would be addressed
- the control of one extraneous variable.

You should use your own experience of practical activities to inform your response. [15]

Question	Answer		Marks	Guidance		
22			Max = 15	-Context =	structure/ weight, personality etc	
Level of response	Details of required features (RFs) included	Justification of decisions ma	ade		Reference to own practical work	
Good 12-15 marks	-All 4 required features (RFs) addressed in context -Accurate and detailed knowledge and understanding of each feature in context -Good evidence of application of required features in context	some is contextu	line of reasoning		-Explicit reference to own practical work and clear links between own work and the planned research for each required feature. e.g. specific mention of aim or procedural features -For top band (good) 12 marks if just one RF	
Reasonable 8-11 marks	-At least 3 required features in context -Reasonably accurate and detailed knowledge and understanding of each feature	related to require context award 8	ate justification of ed features (if no j marks) e of reasoning evi	ustification in	linked, 13 marks if two, 14 marks if three and 15 if all four are linked -If there is no explicit clear link between own practical work and any of the 4 required features caps the mark at 11 maximum.	
Limited 4-7 marks	-At least two of the required features addressed in context -Limited application of required features OR three or all four required features referred to but in a limited way If one required feature addressed in detail and justified in contaward 4 marks	-Attempt to justi	fy decision(s) but ne structure, but v ks made to own p	veak	Link to their own practical work must inform this study. E.g. the candidate should explain why their choice of sample is better than the one used in their practical work (or is at least as good as it).	
Basic 1-3 marks	-At least one of the required features addressed -Weak application of required features OR more than one of the required features referred to but in a very brief and/or basic way	-None, or if pres	ent very weak		Justification of the RF can be done by referring to their own practical work. RF1- Basic – Just identifying the sampling technique, Limited – sampling method identified and defined, Reasonable – Identified the sampling method, possibly defined and attempted to explain how this has been carried	

	out in their study. Good – Identified the sampling method and clearly explained this has been carried out in their study. RF 2- needs to lead to data to be at least ordinal data to be addressed (e.g. qualit data would not be appropriate for a correlation). Semantic differential scale be creditworthy and are considered reast (good if the numerical scale is given or a explanation of how the data will be mad ordinal) weight is not context for this RF.	how st tative s can sonable an e
	ordinal) weight is not context for this RF RF 3-Integrity, Respect, Responsibility, Competence. Also allow social sensitivi Basic – just identifies the ethical consideration of the ethical consideration, Reasonable – identifying ethical consideration/how it can be addressed.	ity. eration, cal the ressed,
	RF 4-Basic – just identifies the extraneo variable, Limited explanation of the extra variable, Reasonable – identifying the extraneous variable/how it can be control Good – Explaining the extraneous varia clarity on how it can be controlled.	aneous olled,

Question	Answer		Marks	Guidance	
23 (a)	Possible answers include: pre-existing medical records from GP/hospital/health centre; details from insurance company; details from employer, etc		Max 3	-Context = structure/ weight/BMI, etc Do not credit a definition on its own. However, a definition can add to a correct response. For example a	
	Clear description of how secondary	data could be obtained in context	3	brief/weak attempt could become an	
	Clear description of how secondary data could be obtained but not in context Brief and/or weak attempt at description of how secondary data could be obtained be obtained (whether in context or not)		2	attempt by also providing a definition. Secondary data is pre-existing sources that has already been collected but not	
			1	for the purpose of this research. Can come up with more than one way	
	The candidate has not provided any	y creditworthy information	0	to collect the data. The data collected could be for the participants in their study (this could implicit) No credit to the participant/their famil weighing themselves. No credit to reference to collecting data from previous psychological studies/articles not involving their participants.	

Out	line on	strength of the use of secondary dat	a in this study. [3]		
Que	estion	Answer		Marks	Guidance
23	(b)	Likely answers: information already available (more practical); saves time; less personal than asking participants directly, etc		Max 3	-Context = structure/ weight, personality etc
		Clear outline of strength in context	Clear outline of strength in context		
		Clear outline of strength but not in context	Attempt to outline strength in context	2	
		Brief and/or weak attempt to outline strength (whether in context or not)		1	
		The candidate has not provided an	y creditworthy information	0	

Outline one	weakness having quantitative data i	n this study. [3]		
Question	Answer		Marks	Guidance
24	not provide information about why/ may have issues related to how the	kely answers: the quantitative measurement of 'extroversion' does of provide information about why/how the person is like they are, and ay have issues related to how the numerical assessment of the ariable is implemented/assessed/interpreted, etc.		-Context = structure/ weight, personality etc Do not credit weaknesses of correlations that do not apply to quantitative data.
	Clear outline of weakness in conte	xt	3	
	Clear outline of weakness but not in context	Attempt to outline weakness in context	2	
	Brief and/or weak attempt to outline weakness (whether in context or not)		1	
	The candidate has not provided an	y creditworthy information	0	

Que	estion Answer		Marks	Guidance	
25	(a)	Possible examplesHow would you describe your personality? -In what way do you think weight influences your personality? Open question clearly presented in context		Max 2	-Context = structure/ weight, personality etc Allow anything that could affect your weight or personality (e.g. exercise)
				2	-Accept open questions related to the
		Open question clearly presented, but not in context	OR attempt to present open question in context	1	assessment of either variable
		The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information		0	Credit a statement such as 'Describe your personality' (can lead to an open response).

Out	line on	strength of having some qualitative data	in this study. [3]		
Que	stion	Answer		Marks	Guidance
25	(b)	Likely answers: Provides depth/detail/insight; enables the subjective concept of an aspect/type of personality (extroversion) to be investigated, increased validity due to participants being able to express their views/thoughts/feelings, etc		Max 3	-Context = structure/ weight, personality etc
		Clear outline of strength in context		3	
		5	tempt to outline strength in ntext	2	
		Brief and/or weak attempt to outline strength (whether in context or not)		1	
		The candidate has not provided any cre	editworthy information	0	

Question	Answer		Marks	Guidance
Likely answers: increasing sample size; increasing sample diversity; use of random sampling; improving the ecological validity of the environment of the study; improving the mundane realism of the task, etc		Max 3	-Context = structure/ weight, personality etc Generalisability could refer to how representative the sample, the situation	
	A way the design of the study could increase generalisability of the data collected clearly presented in context		3	and/or task that the participants do.
	A way the design of the study could increase generalisability of the data collected clearly presented but not in context	Attempt to present a way the design of the study could increase generalisability of the data collected in context	2	Credit improvements or generalisability of their original study. Do not credit definition of
	Brief and/or weak attempt to present a way the design of the study could increase generalisability of the data collected (whether in context or not)		1	generalisability on its own. It must be linked to a feature from the design of the study.
	The candidate has not provided an	y creditworthy information	0	

Section C: Data analysis and interpretation

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
27	A bar chart showing the overall use of litter bins with and without steps leading up to them 25 20 15 10 Bin with steps Bin with steps bin without steps	-A title is not necessary, but can clarity to otherwise unclear label axes -Labels on axes must be clear. Fexample just putting 'category' in something like 'type of bin' is un (*but remember this can be clarititle if provided) -Cap at 3 marks if data presented	-A title is not necessary, but can add clarity to otherwise unclear labels on axes -Labels on axes must be clear. For example just putting 'category' instead of something like 'type of bin' is unclear (*but remember this can be clarified by a title if provided) -Cap at 3 marks if data presented as a histogram (i.e. no gap between bars)
	Type of bin 1 mark is awarded for correctly presenting by value each bar representing the		rather than a bar chart Cap at 3 marks if bar chart displays male and females separately (ie. Four bars) – the response has not correctly presented by value each bar representing the overall use of each type of bin.
	overall use of each type of bin 1 mark is awarded for clear labelling of the x axis		overall use of each type of bill.
	1 mark is awarded for clear labelling of the y axis		
	1 mark is awarded for units of measurement (total values) on the y axis (or x axis		
	if the bar chart is presented the other way around) 4 features included		
	3 features included	3	
	2 features included	2	_
	1 feature included	1	_
	The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0	

Calculate the percentage of people who used the bin with steps leading up to it. Show your workings and present your finding to two significant figures. [3]

Que	stion	Answer		Marks	Guidance
28		23/40 x 100 = 58%		Max 3	23/40 x 100 = 57.5 = 58 – 3 marks
					23/40x100 = 57.5 – 2 marks
		Correct answer with full workings s	hown	3	
		57.5 calculated with full workings	OR correct answer (58) but some	2	23/40=0.58 – 1 mark
		shown	of the workings are missing.		58 – 1 mark
		Correct answer shown to 2 or 3	Some of the correct workings are	1	57.5 – 1 mark
		significant figures with no or	given. (e.g. 23/40=.58 or .575)		
		incorrect workings			% sign not required.
		The candidate has not provided an	y creditworthy information	0	

Outline	line two conclusions that can be obtained from the data collected in this study.			[6]	
Questi	ion	Answer		Marks	Guidance
29		Conclusions could include: the bin used more often, suggesting that t	with the steps leading up to it was he steps encouraged people to use	6	-Context = bin/bins, litter, steps
		the bin more, perhaps because of etc; Perhaps the bin with steps wa curious where the steps led to and	the novelty value that this afforded		-Clear (explicit) interpretation of findings (not simply stating a finding) is required for top band
		bin with steps leading other people use the bin, woman may be more last they used the bins more than m	ikely to care about the environment		3 marks could be obtained by justifying their conclusion
		Accept any other appropriate conclusions here. 3 marks for each conclusion			For information - 57.5% use of bin with steps 42.5% use of bin without steps
		Clear, detailed response in context			65% overall use of bin by females
		Clear, detailed response but not in context	OR attempt in context	2	35% overall use of bin by males
		Brief and/or weak outline of a conclusion (whether in context or not)	OR simply stating a finding	1	64% of the males used bin with steps 54% of the females used bin with steps
		The candidate has not provided an	y creditworthy information	0	

The psychologist used the Chi Squared test to analyse the data from this study. Give one reason why this would be the appropriate non-parametric inferential test to use. [2]

Que	estion	Answer	Marks	Guidance
30	(a)	Any one reason in context from: nominal (categorical) data obtained; looking for a difference; independent groups (unrelated)	Max 2	-Context = bin/bins, litter, steps, male/female
		One appropriate reason in context	2	
		One appropriate reason but not in context	1	
		The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0	

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
30 (b)	df = 1 Workings (R-1) x (C-1) (2-1) x (2-1) = 1	Max 2	(2-1) x (2-1) = 1 – 2 marks (R-1) x (C-1) = 1 – 2 marks
	Correct answer with workings	2	7
	Correct answer but not workings (or workings incomplete/unclear/incorrect)	1	
	The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0	7

Using the extract from the table of critical values presented below, what is the critical value for use with the Chi Square test in this study at the 5% level of probability? [1]

Que	Question		Answer		Guidance	
30	(c)		3.841	Max 1	If nothing written but correct answer identified in the table – this is creditworthy.	
			Correct answer provided	1		
			The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0		

The psychologist obtained a calculated value of 0.4058 after analysing the data with the Chi Square test. Write a significance statement presenting this finding showing if the results are significant at the 5% level of probability or not. [3]

Ques	stion	Answer	Marks	Guidance
30	(d)	$X^2 = 0.4058$, df =1, p>0.05	Max 3	Written out version can receive full credit.
		1 mark for each correct feature includedcalculated value -df		Eg The Chi Square calculated value is less than the critical value of 3.841. Therefore the difference is not
		-correct indication of significance (i.e. '>')		significant at the 5% probability level.
		3 correct features	3	
		2 correct features	2	1 mark for comparing the calculated
		1 correct feature	1	and critical value.
		The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0	1 mark for identifying the probability is greater than 5% or is not significant at the 5% level of significance. (95% or 1 in 20 is also acceptable) OR state the results are not significant 1 mark for 3.841 or df = 1

Question		Answer		Guidance
30 (e)		It informs us that there is no difference in the usage of the two different types of bins. People are not more likely to use the bin with		-Context = bin/bins, steps
		steps leading up to it compared to the one without steps.		For full marks the candidate must refer to the bin with steps and the bin withou
		Clear response in context	3	steps.
		Clear response but not in context	2	7
		Brief and/or weak attempt (whether in context or not)	1	7
		The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0	The null hypothesis is accepted and/or alternative hypothesis rejected - 1 mark

Question Answer		Marks	Guidance		
31	(a)	Answers could include: easy to collect; easy to analyse/interpret; easy to present in visual (graphical) format; possible to carry out a statistical test; etc		Max 3	-Context = bin/bins, litter, steps, nudge theory etc
		Clear outline of strength in context		3	(for information) The nominal data is
		Clear outline of strength but not in context	Attempt to outline strength in context	2	the number of times that the bin with steps and the bin without steps were
		Brief and/or weak attempt to outline strength (whether in context or not)		1	used It is also whether the participant is male
		The candidate has not provided any	y creditworthy information	0	or female.
					For full marks the response must engage with a feature unique to nominal data that leads to the strength (e.g. categories/frequencies)

Question A		Answer		Marks	Guidance	
31	(b)	Answers could include: doesn't provide reasons for the behaviour observed; easy to miss some behaviours; can be misinterpreted; cannot calculate a mean/median score as participants do not have individual scores, etc		Max 3	- Context = bin/bins, litter, steps, nudg theory etc The nominal data is the number of	
		Clear outline of weakness in conte	xt	3	times that the bin with steps and the bin	
		Clear outline of weakness but not in context	Attempt to outline weakness in context	2	without steps were used It is also whether the participant is male	
		Brief and/or weak attempt to outline not)	e weakness (whether in context or	1	or female.	
Form		The candidate has not provided an		0	For full marks the response must engage with a feature unique to nominal data that leads to the weakness(e.g. categories/frequencies/discontinuous data etc)	
	estion	t it would mean if there was a 'Type ' Answer	rerror in this study. [2]	Marks	Guidance	
32		A Type 1 error is a 'false positive', has been incorrectly rejected (when means that there is no real different		Max 2	-Context = bin/bins, litter, steps 'false positive' – 1 mark 'Incorrectly rejecting the null hypothesis' – 1 mark	
		Clear explanation in context		2	'Incorrectly accepting the	
		Clear explanation but not in context	OR attempted explanation (whether in context or not)	1	alternate/alternative/experimental hypothesis' – 1 mark	
		The candidate has not provided an	v creditworthy information	0	1	

Question	Answer		Marks	Guidance
33	Answers could include: easy to miss things when attempting to record		Max 3	-Context = bin/bins, litter, steps
	all occurrences of the behaviour stu	udied; more time consuming; does		
	not give an indication of the time of	the behaviours, etc		- do not credit weaknesses of nominal
	Clear outline of weakness in contex	ct	3	data/the type of data collected, ethics
	Clear outline of weakness but not	Attempt to outline weakness in	2	sampling, observer bias,.
	in context	context		
	Brief and/or weak attempt to outline weakness (whether in context or not)		1	Responses can refer to the 'use of
				event sampling' in this study such as
	The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information		0	the study taking place over a long time (4 hours), not taking place over a number of days, etc
				For full marks the response must engage with a feature specific to even sampling that leads to the weakness

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) The Triangle Building **Shaftesbury Road** Cambridge **CB2 8EA**

OCR Customer Contact Centre

Education and Learning

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA Registered Company Number: 3484466 OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)

Head office

Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553



